

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENTTED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bect 450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/025,570	12/26/2001		Masaru Matsuura	K0103-US/OH	8366	
466	7590	08/04/2004		EXAMINER		
YOUNG &			MADSEN, ROBERT A			
745 SOUTH 23RD STREET 2ND FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22202				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1761		

DATE MAILED: 08/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/025,570	MATSUURA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert Madsen	1761				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tily within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dawill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a RANDONI	mely filed  ys will be considered timely.  the mailing date of this communication.				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Ju	une 2004.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	· ·					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-17 is/are pending in the appear 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-6 and 10-17 is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 7 and 9 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign     a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:     1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents     2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents     3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies.	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)         Paper No(s)/Mail Date     </li> </ol>	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:					

Art Unit: 1761

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on June 15, 2004 has been entered. Claims 10-17 were added. Claims 1-7,9-17 remain pending in the application.

## Claim Objections

2. Claim 9 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/025,570 Page 3

Art Unit: 1761

4. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable Sengoku et al.

(US 4874630) in view of Uno et al. (JP 61234763).

5. Sengoku et al. teach filling a container with soybean milk and coagulant, sealing

the container, heating the sealing container and providing a tofu that can be shaken

laterally to break contact between the tofu and the inside of the container surface (See

Column 3, lines 4-38, Column 4, lines 10-32, and Example 1 from Column 4, line 38 to

Column 5, line 9). However Sengoku et al. are silent in teaching dropping the container

as part of the manufacturing process to break contact between the tofu and inside of the

container surface, as recited in claim 9.

6. Uno et al. teach an removing a solidified fluid food from a mold by dropping the

mold. Uno et al. teach this food is removed easily from the mold. Furthermore, the

dropping method of Uno et al. is automated, via a conveyor system (JPO and Derwent

Abstracts).

7. Therefore, it would have been obvious to modify Sengoku et al. and include a

dropping step to break contact between the tofu and mold container since Uno et al.

teach this is an easy method of removing fluid food solidified in a mold, and Uno et al.

further teach the advantage of a food removal process which can be automated.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 1-6,10-17 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 10/025,570

Art Unit: 1761

9. Applicant's arguments, filed June 15, 2004, with respect to Abe and Onishi in light of the amendment have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Onishi (JP59175857) and claims 1,3,5 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Abe (US 4147811) have been withdrawn. Consequently, the rejections of claim 2 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abe (US 4147811) in view of Matsuura (US 451433), claim 4 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abe (US 4147811), and claims 5 and 6 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Onishi (JP59175857) in view of Hartz (US 3812210) have also been withdrawn.

Page 4

10. Applicant's arguments, filed June 15, 2004, with respect to the rejection(s)of claim(s) 7 and 9 under 103(a) as being unpatentable over Onishi (JP59175857) in view of Hartz (US 3812210) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground of rejection for claim 7 is made, as set forth above.

#### Conclusion

- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Sordi (EP0124060) teaches shaking cheese molds to break the contact of the cheese with the mold.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Madsen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1402. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00AM-3:30PM M-F.

Application/Control Number: 10/025,570

Art Unit: 1761

13. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on (571) 272-1398. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

14. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert Madsen

Examiner

Art Unit 1761

MILTON I. CANO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700